



**USAID**  
DEL PUEBLO DE LOS ESTADOS  
UNIDOS DE AMERICA

# USAID/PERU PRO-INTEGRIDAD

## GUIDE TO FACILITATE TRAINING WORKSHOPS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MANUAL TO CALCULATE CIVIL REPARATION IN CRIMES AGAINST PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION. PRODUCT N° 35

**August 2014**

This document was prepared for the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) by Tetra Tech DPK (Tt DPK), a division of ARD Inc. in Peru

# USAID/PERU PRO-INTEGRIDAD

## GUIDE TO FACILITATE TRAINING WORKSHOPS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MANUAL TO CALCULATE CIVIL REPARATION IN CRIMES AGAINST PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION. PRODUCT N° 35

Program Name:	Promoting Justice and Integrity in Public Administration (Pro-Integridad)
Sponsoring USAID Office:	USAID/Peru
Contract Number:	AID-527-C-13-00001
Contractor:	ARD Inc.
Date of Publication:	September 2014

### **August 2014**

The opinions of the authors presented herein do not necessarily reflect the point of view of the United States Agency for International Development or the U.S. Government.

## **GUIDE TO FACILITATE TRAINING WORKSHOPS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MANUAL**

This is a training guide designed to lead the Public Attorneys specializing in corruption crimes to evaluate civil reparations in cases of corruption against the public administration. This is a brief summary of the contents of this guide.

### **1. FIRST PART: Review the operational definitions.**

Objective: identify the concepts that will be used during the training.

### **2. SECOND PART: The damage inflicted by corruption and its relation to the compensation.**

Objective: Identify the main damages that corruption crimes inflict on society

### **3. THIRD PART: the components of the civil reparation**

Objective: acknowledge the components of the civil reparation and understand the importance of each one of them.

### **4. FOURTH PART: how to calculate the civil reparation.**

Objective: apply the proposed formulas in the Manual to include a systematic way of calculation for material and reputational damage as well as non-pecuniary damage.

### **5. ACTIVITY: Practical exercise**

#### **Individual Evaluation**

1. Do you consider this a useful tool? Why?
2. Would you use this tool to sustain claims of civil reparation in your daily work? Why?
3. In your opinion, what elements would you add to the manual?
4. Have you used or are you aware of another method of calculation that in your opinion would be better than the one discussed here, or that demonstrates some characteristic that will allow a more objective non-pecuniary damage?
5. What other technical tools do you consider that are required to sustain cases of corruption?